arXiv:1512.05542v2 [hep-ph] 23 Dec 2015

A Boost Test of Anomalous Diphoton Resonance at the LHC

Qing-Hong Cao,[1,2,3,*] Yandong Liu,[1,†] Ke-Pan Xie,[1,‡] Bin Yan,[1,§] and Dong-Ming Zhang[1,¶]

[1] *Department of Physics and State Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Technology, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[2] *Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100871, China*
[3] *Center for High Energy Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*

The recently observed diphoton resonance around 750 GeV at the LHC Run-2 could be interpreted as a weak singlet scalar. The scalar might also decay into a pair of Z-boson and photon. The Z-boson is highly boosted and appears as a fat jet in the detector. We use the jet substructure method to explore the possibility of discovering the singlet scalar in the process of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ in the future LHC experiment.

Introduction: Recently, an anomalous resonance around 750 GeV is observed in the diphoton channel at the level of 3.9σ by the ATLAS collaboration and 2.6σ by the CMS collaboration at the LHC Run-II [1, 2]. The observation stimulates great interests in the field [3–37]. It is attractive to interpret the diphoton resonance as a weak singlet scalar (S) which democratically couples to gauge bosons in the Standard Model (SM) through a set of effective operators. There are only three such operators that couple the scalar S to pairs of vector bosons at the dimension five [38, 39]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{eff} &= \kappa_g \frac{S}{M_S} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a\mu\nu} + \kappa_W \frac{S}{M_S} W^i_{\mu\nu} W^{i\mu\nu} \\ &+ \kappa_B \frac{S}{M_S} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $G^a_{\mu\nu}$, $W^i_{\mu\nu}$ and $B_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the field strength tensor of the $SU(3)_C$, $SU(2)_W$ and $U(1)_Y$ gauge group, respectively. Note that the coefficients $\kappa_{g,W,B}$ are expected to be around $\mathcal{O}(M_S/\Lambda)$ with Λ being new physics scale beyond the capability of current LHC. Our study can be extended to the weak singlet pesudo-scalar whose couplings to gauge bosons arise from a Wess-Zumino-Witten anomaly term [40]. After symmetry breaking the SM gauge bosons are interwoven such that the S scalar will also decay into pairs of WW, ZZ, and $Z\gamma$. Observing a resonance in the invariant mass spectrum of WW, ZZ and $Z\gamma$ pairs would consolidate the diphoton anomaly. The hadronic modes of the W and Z boson decay are preferred as they exhibit large branching ratios. On the other hand, the W or Z boson from the S decay is highly boosted such that the two partons from W and Z decays tend to be collimated and appear in the detector as one fat jet, named as a V-jet where $V = W/Z$. In this Letter we utilize the so-called jet substructure method to probe the signature of boosted V-jets from the S decay in the process of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ to crosscheck the diphoton excess.

Scalar production and decay: We adapt narrow width approximation (NWA) to parameterize the process of $pp \to S \to XY$ as following

$$\sigma(pp \to S \to XY) = \sigma(pp \to S) \times \frac{\Gamma(S \to XY)}{\Gamma_S}, \tag{2}$$

where X and Y denote the SM gauge bosons while Γ_S the total width of the S scalar. The scalar can decay into five modes induced by the three effective operators. The partial widths of the S decay are listed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(S \to gg) &= \frac{M_S}{\pi} 2\kappa_g^2, \\ \Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma) &= \frac{M_S}{4\pi} \left(\kappa_W s_W^2 + \kappa_B c_W^2\right)^2, \\ \Gamma(S \to Z\gamma) &= \frac{M_S}{2\pi} \left(\kappa_W - \kappa_B\right)^2 \left(1 - r_Z\right)^3 c_W^2 s_W^2, \\ \Gamma(S \to WW) &\simeq \frac{M_S}{2\pi} \left(1 - 6r_W\right) \kappa_W^2, \\ \Gamma(S \to ZZ) &\simeq \frac{M_S}{4\pi} \left(\kappa_W c_W^2 + \kappa_B s_W^2\right)^2 \left(1 - 6r_Z\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $r_V = m_V^2/M_S^2$. For a 750 GeV scalar, $r_V (\sim 0.01)$ can be ignored in the above partial widths. We compare the branching ratio $\Gamma(S \to XY)$ to $\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)$ in the following four special cases:

i) $\kappa_B = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{WW} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(S \to WW)}{\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)} \sim 40, \\ R_{ZZ} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(S \to ZZ)}{\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)} \sim 12, \\ R_{Z\gamma} &\equiv \frac{\Gamma(S \to Z\gamma)}{\Gamma(S \to \gamma\gamma)} \sim 7; \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

ii) $\kappa_W = 0$,

$$R_{WW} = 0, \quad R_{ZZ} \sim 0.09\ , \quad R_{Z\gamma} \sim 0.6\ ; \tag{4}$$

iii) $\kappa_W = \kappa_B$,

$$R_{WW} = 2R_{ZZ} \sim 2, \qquad R_{Z\gamma} = 0; \tag{5}$$

iv) $\kappa_W = -\kappa_B$,

$$R_{WW} \sim 6.9\ , \quad R_{ZZ} \sim 1, \qquad R_{Z\gamma} \sim 4.9\ . \tag{6}$$

Large R_{WW}, R_{ZZ} and $R_{Z\gamma}$ are needed for a discovery of the S scalar in the processes of $pp \to S \to WW/ZZ/Z\gamma$ at the LHC. However, the parameter spaces of $\kappa_{g,W,B}$ are constrained severely by the LHC Run-I data, e.g.

$\sigma(WW) \leq 40$ fb [41], $\sigma(ZZ) \leq 12$ fb [42] and $\sigma(Z\gamma) \leq 4$ fb [43]. After fixing $\sigma(pp \to S \to \gamma\gamma) = 10$ fb to explain the diphoton anomaly [1, 2], one can convert the cross section bounds into constraints on the ratio R_{XY} as [44]

$$R_{WW} \leq 19, \quad R_{ZZ} \leq 6, \quad R_{Z\gamma} \leq 2. \tag{7}$$

Obviously, the two cases of $\kappa_B = 0$ and $\kappa_W = -\kappa_B$ have a tension with the current experimental data. It is worth mentioning that the R_{XY} limits are no longer valid if the diphoton excess turns out to be a statistical fluctuation. If the diphoton excess is indeed confirmed in the future experiments, then those two simple cases are excluded. In the following R_{XY} should be treated as the cross section $\sigma(pp \to S \to XY) = R_{XY} \times 10$ fb.

Collider simulation: Now we turn to collider simulation. The hadronic decay of WW and ZZ from 750 GeV S decay are overwhelmed by the QCD backgrounds and difficult to be trigged at the LHC. The hard photon in the $Z\gamma$ mode offers a good trigger of the signal events. We thus focus on the $Z(\to jj)\gamma$ mode hereafter.

For illustration we choose $\kappa_g = \kappa_W = -\kappa_B = 0.01$ as our benchmark parameters, which yield the reference cross section and the total width of the S scalar as follows:

$$\sigma_0(Z\gamma) = 42.07 \text{ fb}, \quad \Gamma_S^0 = 0.07 \text{ GeV}. \tag{8}$$

Taking advantage of the NWA, the cross section of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ for other parameters can be obtained from

$$\sigma(pp \to S \to Z\gamma) = \sigma_0(Z\gamma) \left(\frac{\kappa_g}{0.01}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\kappa_W - \kappa_B}{0.02}\right)^2 \frac{\Gamma_S^0}{\Gamma_S}. \tag{9}$$

Even though our study is based on the NWA, the results are valid for a large-width scalar, e.g. $\Gamma_S = 0.06 M_S \sim 45$ GeV.

The Z boson in the scattering of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ tends to be highly boosted. The distance of two partons from the Z-boson decay can be estimated approximately as

$$\Delta R \sim 2M_Z/p_T \sim 4M_Z/M_S \sim 0.4 - 0.5 \ , \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta R_{ij} = \sqrt{(\eta_i - \eta_j)^2 + (\phi_i - \phi_j)^2}$ with η_i and ϕ_i denoting the rapidity and azimuthal angle of parton i. Given such a small angular separation, the hadronic decay products from the Z-boson would form a fat jet with a substructure in the detector. That yields a special collider signature of one fat Z-jet and one hard photon. In order to mimic the signal events, the SM background should consist of W or Z boson and a hard photon. We consider SM backgrounds as follows: i) the associated production of a W boson and a photon (denoted by $W+\gamma$); ii) the associated production of a Z boson and a photon ($Z+\gamma$); iii) the associated production of a photon and multiple jets (denoted by γ+jets). The other backgrounds such as W+jets, Z+jets and $t\bar{t}$ are highly suppressed by demanding a hard photon in the final state.



FIG. 1: The normalized p_T distributions of the photon (a) and the invariant mass distribution of the Z-jet and the photon pair (b).

We generate both the signal and the background processes at the parton level using MadEvent [45] at the 14 TeV LHC and pass events to Pythia [46] for showering and hadronization. The Delphes package [47] is used to simulate detector smearing effects in accord to a fairly standard Gaussian-type detector resolution given by $\delta E/E = \mathcal{A}/\sqrt{E/\text{GeV}} \oplus \mathcal{B}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is a sampling term and $\mathcal{B}$ is a constant term. For leptons we take $\mathcal{A} = 5\%$ and $\mathcal{B} = 0.55\%$, and for jets we take $\mathcal{A} = 100\%$ and $\mathcal{B} = 5\%$. We also impose the lepton veto if the lepton has transverse momentum (p_T) greater than 20 GeV, rapidity $|\eta_\ell| \leq 2.5$ and its overlap with jets $\Delta R_{j\ell} \geq 0.4$.

In the signal event, the photon arises from the heavy scalar decay and thus exhibits a hard peak in the transverse momentum distribution. As sharing the energy with the associated Z-boson, the p_T distribution of the photon peaks around $\sim m_S/2 \approx 375$ GeV; see Fig. 1(a). In the analysis we require tagging a hard photon in the final state which satisfies

$$p_T^\gamma \geq 250 \text{ GeV}, \quad |\eta^\gamma| \leq 1.4 \ . \tag{11}$$

A 2-pronged boosted Z-jet is tagged using the so-called "mass-drop" technique with asymmetry cut introduced in Ref. [48]. The Z-jet reconstruction is performed using Cambridge/Aachen algorithm with Fastjet [49]. The distance parameter of 1.2 is used to cluster a fat jet that is initiated by the boosted Z-boson. We further require the invariant mass of the reconstructed Z-jet (M_J) within mass window [50]:

$$|M_J - m_Z| \leq 13 \text{ GeV} \tag{12}$$

where $m_Z = 91.2$ GeV. Furthermore, the invariant mass of the reconstructed Z-jet and the photon is required to lie within the mass window

$$\Delta M_{J\gamma} \equiv |M_{J\gamma} - M_S| \leq 25 \text{ GeV}. \tag{13}$$

TABLE I: The numbers of the signal ($\kappa_g = \kappa_W = -\kappa_B = 0.01$) and background events after kinematic cuts at the 14 TeV LHC with an integrated luminosity of 1 fb^{-1}.

	Signal	γ+jets	$Z+\gamma$	$W+\gamma$
No cut	42.07	454200	2255.5	4690
p_T^γ cut and Z-jet tagging	1.15	179.46	6.15	3.63
$M_{J\gamma}$ cut	0.72	10.85	0.43	0.27



FIG. 2: The discovery potential of the process of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ at the 14 TeV LHC with the integrated luminosity of 300 fb^{-1} (a) and 3000 fb^{-1} (b). The black curves label the 5σ discovery potential while the red curves represent the 2σ exclusion. The gray (yellow, green) region denotes the parameter space of $R_{Z\gamma} < 1$ ($1 < R_{Z\gamma} < 2$, $2 < R_{Z\gamma} < 7$), respectively.

Figure 1(b) plots the invariant mass distribution of the reconstructed Z-jet and γ. The numbers of events of the signal for our benchmark parameter and the backgrounds after all the above cuts are shown in the fourth row of Table I with an integrated luminosity ($\mathcal{L}$) of 1 fb^{-1}. Cross sections of other parameters can be derived from Eq. 9 and those numbers given in Table I. The cut efficiency of the signal event is not sensitive to the values of $\kappa_{g,W,B}$ or the narrow width of the S scalar. After all the cuts the major background is from the productions of γ+jets.

As the numbers of the signal and background events are large, we estimate the needed cross section of the signal to claim a 5σ discovery from

$$\sigma_{Z\gamma} = \frac{5 \times \sqrt{\sigma_B}}{\epsilon_{\rm cut} \times \sqrt{\mathcal{L}}}. \qquad (14)$$

where $\epsilon_{\rm cut} \sim 0.02$ denotes the cut efficiency of the signal. Figure 2(a) displays the discovery potential of the 750 GeV scalar in the process of $pp \to S \to Z\gamma$ at the 14 TeV LHC with an integrated luminosity of 300 fb^{-1}. The gray (yellow, green) region represents $R_{Z\gamma} \leq 1$ ($1 < R_{Z\gamma} < 2$, $2 < R_{Z\gamma} < 7$), respectively. A large ratio $R_{Z\gamma} = 4.93$ is needed to reach a discovery at the level of 5σ; see the black curve. If no excess were observed, then one can exclude the parameter spaces of $R_{Z\gamma} > 1.97$ at the 2σ level; see the red curve. The high luminosity phase of the LHC ($\mathcal{L} = 3000$ fb^{-1}) could probe the parameter spaces of $R_{Z\gamma} \geq 1.56$ at the 5σ level and exclude the parameter spaces of $R_{Z\gamma} \geq 0.62$ at the 2σ level; see Fig. 2(b).

Acknowledgement: The work is supported in part by the National Science Foundation of China under Grand No. 11275009.

* Electronic address: qinghongcao@pku.edu.cn
† Electronic address: ydliu@pku.edu.cn
‡ Electronic address: kpxie@pku.edu.cn
§ Electronic address: binyan@pku.edu.cn
¶ Electronic address: zhangdongming@pku.edu.cn

[1] The ATLAS Collaboration (2015), ATLAS-CONF-2015-081.
[2] The CMS Collaboration (2015), CMS-PAS-EXO-15-004.
[3] K. Harigaya and Y. Nomura (2015), 1512.04850.
[4] Y. Mambrini, G. Arcadi, and A. Djouadi (2015), 1512.04913.
[5] M. Backovic, A. Mariotti, and D. Redigolo (2015), 1512.04917.
[6] A. Angelescu, A. Djouadi, and G. Moreau (2015), 1512.04921.
[7] Y. Nakai, R. Sato, and K. Tobioka (2015), 1512.04924.
[8] S. Knapen, T. Melia, M. Papucci, and K. Zurek (2015), 1512.04928.
[9] D. Buttazzo, A. Greljo, and D. Marzocca (2015), 1512.04929.
[10] A. Pilaftsis (2015), 1512.04931.
[11] R. Franceschini, G. F. Giudice, J. F. Kamenik, M. McCullough, A. Pomarol, R. Rattazzi, M. Redi, F. Riva, A. Strumia, and R. Torre (2015), 1512.04933.
[12] S. Di Chiara, L. Marzola, and M. Raidal (2015), 1512.04939.
[13] T. Higaki, K. S. Jeong, N. Kitajima, and F. Takahashi (2015), 1512.05295.
[14] S. D. McDermott, P. Meade, and H. Ramani (2015), 1512.05326.
[15] J. Ellis, S. A. R. Ellis, J. Quevillon, V. Sanz, and T. You (2015), 1512.05327.
[16] M. Low, A. Tesi, and L.-T. Wang (2015), 1512.05328.
[17] B. Bellazzini, R. Franceschini, F. Sala, and J. Serra (2015), 1512.05330.
[18] R. S. Gupta, S. Jger, Y. Kats, G. Perez, and E. Stamou (2015), 1512.05332.
[19] C. Petersson and R. Torre (2015), 1512.05333.
[20] E. Molinaro, F. Sannino, and N. Vignaroli (2015), 1512.05334.
[21] Y. Bai, J. Berger, and R. Lu (2015), 1512.05779.
[22] D. Aloni, K. Blum, A. Dery, A. Efrati, and Y. Nir (2015), 1512.05778.
[23] A. Falkowski, O. Slone, and T. Volansky (2015), 1512.05777.
[24] C. Csaki, J. Hubisz, and J. Terning (2015), 1512.05776.
[25] J. Chakrabortty, A. Choudhury, P. Ghosh, S. Mondal, and T. Srivastava (2015), 1512.05767.
[26] L. Bian, N. Chen, D. Liu, and J. Shu (2015), 1512.05759.
[27] D. Curtin and C. B. Verhaaren (2015), 1512.05753.
[28] S. Fichet, G. von Gersdorff, and C. Royon (2015), 1512.05751.
[29] W. Chao, R. Huo, and J.-H. Yu (2015), 1512.05738.

[30] S. V. Demidov and D. S. Gorbunov (2015), 1512.05723.
[31] J. M. No, V. Sanz, and J. Setford (2015), 1512.05700.
[32] D. Becirevic, E. Bertuzzo, O. Sumensari, and R. Z. Funchal (2015), 1512.05623.
[33] R. Martinez, F. Ochoa, and C. F. Sierra (2015), 1512.05617.
[34] A. Ahmed, B. M. Dillon, B. Grzadkowski, J. F. Gunion, and Y. Jiang (2015), 1512.05771.
[35] P. Cox, A. D. Medina, T. S. Ray, and A. Spray (2015), 1512.05618.
[36] A. Kobakhidze, F. Wang, L. Wu, J. M. Yang, and M. Zhang (2015), 1512.05585.
[37] S. Matsuzaki and K. Yamawaki (2015), 1512.05564.
[38] Q.-H. Cao, C. B. Jackson, W.-Y. Keung, I. Low, and J. Shu, Phys. Rev. **D81**, 015010 (2010), 0911.3398.
[39] I. Low, J. Lykken, and G. Shaughnessy, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 093012 (2012), 1207.1093.
[40] G. Cacciapaglia, A. Deandrea, and M. Hashimoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 171802 (2015), 1507.03098.
[41] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS) (2015), 1509.00389.
[42] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS) (2015), 1507.05930.
[43] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS), Phys. Lett. **B738**, 428 (2014), 1407.8150.
[44] A. Belyaev, G. Cacciapaglia, H. Cai, T. Flacke, A. Parolini, and H. Serdio (2015), 1512.07242.
[45] J. Alwall, P. Demin, S. de Visscher, R. Frederix, M. Herquet, F. Maltoni, T. Plehn, D. L. Rainwater, and T. Stelzer, JHEP **09**, 028 (2007), 0706.2334.
[46] T. Sjöstrand, S. Ask, J. R. Christiansen, R. Corke, N. Desai, P. Ilten, S. Mrenna, S. Prestel, C. O. Rasmussen, and P. Z. Skands, Comput. Phys. Commun. **191**, 159 (2015), 1410.3012.
[47] J. de Favereau, C. Delaere, P. Demin, A. Giammanco, V. Lematre, A. Mertens, and M. Selvaggi (DELPHES 3), JHEP **02**, 057 (2014), 1307.6346.
[48] J. M. Butterworth, A. R. Davison, M. Rubin, and G. P. Salam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 242001 (2008), 0802.2470.
[49] M. Cacciari, G. P. Salam, and G. Soyez, Eur. Phys. J. **C72**, 1896 (2012), 1111.6097.
[50] G. Aad et al. (ATLAS) (2015), 1506.00962.